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General Information

- Class Webpage and Newsgroup:
  http://fsl.cs.uiuc.edu/~grosu (go to “Classes”)

- Lectures: Wednesdays/Fridays 12:30 - 13:45, 1302 Siebel Center

- Office hours: Tuesdays 10:00 - 12:00, 2110 Siebel Center

- Instructor: Grigore Roșu
  - Office: 2110 Siebel Center
  - Email: grosu@illinois.edu
  - WWW: http://cs.uiuc.edu/grosu

- Prerequisites: CS421 or equivalent, or instructor’s approval
• Textbooks

No textbook required! Self contained lecture notes will be posted on class’ webpage. The following may be useful:


• Other sources

– The Maude Language: [http://maude.cs.uiuc.edu](http://maude.cs.uiuc.edu)
– Proceedings of Conferences on Programming Languages
  * POPL, PLDI, OOPSLA*
Grading

- Students registered for 4 units
  - Homework assignments (or MPs): 45%
  - Final exam: 30%
  - Individual project: 25%

- Students registered for 3 units
  - Homework assignments: 60%
  - Final exam: 40%
The Homework Assignments

- This is a *labor intensive class*. The notions presented in class will be often backed by machine supported formalizations which you are supposed to modify or redo entirely as part of your assignments and as part of your project.

- Assignments will be complete approximately every 4 lectures.
The Unit Project

- The unit project will consist of designing a new programming language with specified features. This language will most likely be an extended version of an existing language. The design will be formalized and an interpreter will be provided, which we will test against many carefully selected programs.

The Final Exam

- The final exam will test your overall understanding of the concepts discussed in class, and it is expected to be consistent with your homework assignments’ scores. The final exam will most likely be take-home (with 48 hours to solve it).
Collaboration and Other Policies

- You are free to discuss the homework assignments with other students (and are encouraged to do so!). The focus of any such discussion should be limited to figuring the problem specification, not coming up with a solution. You may not jointly write or code any assignment. To do so will be considered cheating! All cheating will be penalized by automatically assigning a failing grade for the course and instigating further disciplinary action with the appropriate university disciplinary body.

- You should retain copies of your assignments until you receive your final grade. In the event of a discrepancy between your scores on assignments and those on the exams, you may be asked to explain any work you performed. Your grade may be adversely affected by an inability to explain your work or by
failure to retain copies of it. All students are required to take the final exam in order to receive a grade in the course.

- The course has a newsgroup. You are encouraged to use this group to ask questions, answer mundane system questions for other students, discuss homeworks, etc. In consideration for your peers, please don’t use it to post flames, irrelevant messages, ads, etc.
Course Description

- Advanced course on principles of programming language design
- Major semantic approaches to programming languages will be introduced
- Major programming language design paradigms will be investigated and mathematically defined (or specified)
- Since the rigorous definitional framework will be *executable*, *interpreters* for the designed languages will be obtained *for free*
- Software analysis tools reasoning about programs in these languages will arise naturally
- Major theoretical models will be discussed
Tentative Subjects Covered in CS422

- Structural operational semantics (SOS): big-step and small-step SOS, modular SOS, reduction semantics with evaluation contexts; the chemical abstract machine (CHAM)
- Defining/designing a simple programming language using the formalisms above; discussing possible extensions of the simple language to reflect limitations of the formalisms above
- Maude, a rewriting logic engine (this course is NOT about Maude; you are expected to master its basics quickly, so that we can focus on PL notions), and K, a tool-supported rewriting-based language definitional approach
- Defining SIMPLE, a simple C-like imperative language with functions, together with type checker
- Defining FUN, a functional programming language; different
binding styles discussed, such as static versus dynamic binding, as well as different parametric passing styles, such as call-by-value, reference, name, need; defining static and dynamic type checkers, and a type inferencer for FUN

- Defining SKOOL, an object-oriented language; different method dispatch styles discussed, such as static versus dynamic method dispatch; defining typed and untyped variants of SKOOL

- Defining LOGIK, a simple logic programming language

- Other subjects covered include: continuation-passing style transformations, exceptions, concurrency, denotational semantics, lambda-calculus.
Course Objectives

- Present and define rigorously the major features and design concepts in programming languages
- Show how elegantly and easily one can design a programming language if one uses the right tools and framework
- Understand the significant theory of programming languages
- The practical objective of this course is not to implement programming languages, but rather to specify or define them formally and modularly, on a feature by feature basis
  - Interpreters will, however, be obtained for free, because in the discussed framework one can execute specifications
  - For that reason, we take the freedom to interchangeably use the words define and implement in this course
What is the difference between \textit{specification} and \textit{implementation}?

- An intuitive way to think of them is to associate the first to the question \textbf{WHAT} and the second to the question \textbf{HOW}

- A specification says \textit{what} properties a system should have, while an implementation says \textit{how} those properties are achieved

- A specification declares the interface of a system as well as the operations that it can perform, together with properties that these must fulfill; e.g., “addition is commutative”. An implementation gives concrete implementations of these operations; it may require quite tricky algorithms.

- A specification can have many correct implementations. Such an implementation is said to \textit{satisfy} the specification, and this is formally written $\text{Impl} \models \text{Spec}$
An Example: Integer Numbers

Integer numbers are part of any programming language that is worth its salt. What is the difference between specifying and implementing integer numbers?

- A specification of integer numbers may say
  - There are some entities called integers
  - There is some special integer called zero and written 0
  - There are two unary operations succ and pred
  - There is some binary operation +
  - These operators have lots of properties, including:
    * succ and pred are inverse to each other
    * + is associative, commutative and has 0 as identity
    * (∀X, Y : integer) succ(X) + Y = succ(X + Y)
    * etc.
A typical *implementation* of integer numbers may be based on a hardware binary representation. Let us consider for example one over $k + 1$ bits, known as *one’s complement*: the most significant bit (the leftmost one) stays for the sign, 0 for positive and 1 for negative, and the remaining $k$ bits stay for the absolute value of the integer number. Here, let’s assume that overflows may lead to unpredictable results.

**Exercise 1** *The one’s complement binary implementation of integer numbers is *not* a correct implementation of integer numbers as specified above. Which properties are not satisfied?*

In this course we will consider an idealistic implementation of integers, in which they can have any finite number of digits. The Maude language that we will use in this class provides such an idealistic built-in representation of integer numbers
In this course the emphasis will be on specifications of programming languages. For a desired programming language, say $\mathcal{PL}$, the focus will be on devising a specification $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{PL})$, defining all the important aspects of $\mathcal{PL}$.

Ideally, one would want to specify $\mathcal{PL}$ on a feature by feature basis, in a modular way. Supposing that $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$ are desired features of $\mathcal{PL}$, such as static binding, call-by-reference, etc., with specifications $\text{Spec}(F_1), \text{Spec}(F_2), \ldots, \text{Spec}(F_n)$, then a major goal in this class is to define, or rather “design”,

$$\text{Spec}(\mathcal{PL}) = \text{Compose}(\text{Spec}(F_1), \text{Spec}(F_2), \ldots, \text{Spec}(F_n)),$$

where $\text{Compose}$ is some appropriate module, or specification, composition operator.
Important Notes and Advice

• The lecture notes for this class will be all posted on the web and will be as detailed as needed. No other textbooks are necessary, though those of you interested in the covered topics may find it useful to check other books as auxiliary material in order to have a better understanding of the discussed concepts.

• We will *not* use Scheme, ML or OCAML in this class as implementation languages! These are quite advanced programming languages; using them to *implement* interpreters hides some of the real important and interesting issues in specifying a programming language.